Application No. 10/523.270 Docket No.: 9896-000058/US/NP Amendment dated October 23, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 24, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are now pending in the application. Claims 1-2, and 5-10 are

currently amended. No new matter has been added as support for all amendments may

be found throughout the specification, claims, and drawings as originally filed. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of

the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1, 2, 3, and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph,

as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter

which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Regarding claim 3, the phases "polling period" and "polling interval" haven't been

found.

Applicant believes that the amended claims are clear and all based on the

original application. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and

withdraw these objections.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-7 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated

by Sachse (U.S. Pat. No. 6,985,901). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 is directed to a dispatching method of which the objects to be dispatched

are device data set to be polled. In the device data set, the devices are sorted based 7

JIMI 7kk

Application No. 10/523,270 Docket No.: 9896-000058/US/NP Amendment dated October 23, 2007

Reply to Office Action of July 24, 2007

on their types, and then various data belonging to the same device may be further

sorted to different modules with different priority attributes and different polling period

attributes. When a system polling is initiated, modules to be polled currently may be

determined from the first set of device to be polled in accordance with claimed

invention.

Sachse, however, only at best discloses using a predetermined interval to

perform a polling. Sachse is generally directed to a technical solution for maintaining a

predetermined agreement upon QoS as described above. Sachse fails to anticipate the

limitations as recited in claim 1.

Amended claim 1 inherently defines that multiple modules belonging to the same

device may be polled with different polling periods based on the different priorities and

polling periods thereof. Applicant submits that Sachse fails to disclose any technical

feature indicating multiple modules belonging to the same device may be polled with

different polling periods.

In view of above, Applicant submits that the amended claim 1 and its dependent

claims 2-10 define over Sachse.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 8 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Sachse in view of Baldwin (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0154271). This rejection is

8

respectfully traversed.

JIMI 7kk

Application No. 10/523,270 Docket No.: 9896-000058/US/NP

Amendment dated October 23, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 24, 2007

Applicant has amended claims 8-9. As argued in the above section, Applicant

submits that Sachse and Baldwin, individually or in combination, do not teach or

suggest all the limitations as recited in either of claim 8 and claim 9.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 8-9 define over

Sachse and Baldwin. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly

traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests

that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is

believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office

Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and

favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner

believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the

9

Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

JIMI 7kk

Application No. 10/523,270 Docket No.: 9896-000058/US/NP

Amendment dated October 23, 2007 Reply to Office Action of July 24, 2007

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-0750, under Order No. 9896-000058/US/NP from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 23, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By /Joseph M. Lafata/ Joseph M. Lafata Registration No.: 37,166 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1223 Attorney for Applicant

10 JMU/kk